

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/987,839	11/16/2001	Yukio Nakano	500.40877X00	7590
24956 75	90 03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			THAI, HANH B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTORIT	TATER NOMBER
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		2161	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/987,839	NAKANO ET AL.	NAKANO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hanh B Thai	2161				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE in External form of the second se	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be the provision of t	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimuly period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 16 November 2001.					
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-23 is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideratio					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on 16 November 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	01 is/are: a) \square accepted of to the drawing(s) be held in a correction is required if the difference.	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formula. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International formula.	uments have been receive uments have been receive e priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)				
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date	48) Pap SB/08) 5) 🔲 Noti	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/987,839 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-10 and 24 in the reply filed on December 1, 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 11-23 are withdrawn from consideration.

DETAILED ACTION

2. The information disclosure statement filed November 16, 2001 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it is submitted in improper format. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bridge (US 6,405,284 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Bridge discloses a database management system connected to a plurality of storages for storing a plurality of data items, comprising:

Art Unit: 2161

- a storage having a first storage area ("104", Fig.1) corresponding to a plurality of storages for storing a plurality of data items and having a second storage area ("114", Fig.1) corresponding to a storage to be added to or disconnected from said plurality of storages (col. 3, lines 10-22 and col.4, line 64-col.5, line 14); an acceptance section connected to the storage for accepting a data processing request (col.2, lines 10-13), said data processing request including data processing in said plurality of storages and data rebalance between said plurality of storages (col.23, lines 49-55); and
- a plurality of executors connected to said acceptance section for sequentially executing at least any of the data processing in the plurality of storages and the data rebalance (col.21, lines 20-61. I/O operations corresponding to executors).

Regarding claim 2, Bridge discloses a database management system as set forth in claim 1, wherein said storage has a storage area correspondence table (col.14, lines 28-29) showing combinations of predetermined data items to be sharedly shared by said plurality of storages according to said request of addition or disconnection to cause the data rebalance between the storages (col.23, lines 26-45; Fig.7 and corresponding text).

Regarding claim 3, Bridge discloses a database management system as set forth in claim 1, wherein said acceptance section has a rebalance flag indicating that said plurality of storages are being rebalanced due to addition or disconnection to said plurality of storages, and said acceptance section, in response to a data processing request to said data items stored in said plurality of storages, refers to said rebalance flag and reflects data update even on the storages subjected to the data rebalance (col.22, lines 44-50).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 6, Bridge discloses a database management program installed in a database management system connected a plurality of storages for storing a plurality of data items via an interface, said program being capable of being read by a computer, said program comprising the steps of: setting first information indicative of a plurality of storages for storing the plurality of data items in a first storage area; setting second information indicative of a storage to be subjected to a request of add or disconnect to said plurality of storages in a second storage area; accepting a database processing request at an acceptance section connected to said storages, sad database processing request including processing of data in the plurality of storages and data rebalance between said plurality of storages; and sequentially executing at least any of the data processing in the plurality of storages and the data rebalance in a plurality of executors (col. 3, lines 10-22; col.4, line 64-col.5, line 14 and col.22, lines 44-50).

Regarding claim 7, Bridge discloses a database management program as set forth in claim 6, further comprising a step of storing in said storages a storage area correspondence table (Fig.7) showing combinations of predetermined data items to be sharedly stored by said plurality of storages in response to said request of addition or disconnection to cause data rebalance between the storages.

Regarding claim 8, Bridge discloses a database management program as set forth in claim 6, further comprising a step of setting rebalance information indicating that said plurality of storages being rebalanced due to addition or disconnection to the plurality of storages in a rebalance flag, and a step of, in response to a data processing request to said data items stored in said plurality of storages, referring to said rebalance flag and reflecting data update even on the

storages subjected to the data rebalance (col. 3, lines 10-22; col.4, line 64-col.5, line 14 and col.22, lines 44-50).

Regarding claim 24, Bridge discloses a program read into a computer and run over a database under control of a computer to execute steps for database management, comprising the steps of: at the time of starting the program, confirming presence or absence of an area for storing information for identification of an additional storage for storing table data and information indicative of 'in rebalance operation' during which data is moved to said additional storage, and, in the absence of the area, securing said area (col.4, line 64-col.5, line 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bridge (US 6,405,284 B1) in view of Arai et al. (US 5,564,116) provided by applicant.

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Bridge all of the claimed limitations as discussed above, except adding data position information to data before subjected to the rebalance execution by said data rebalance request in said plurality of storages. Arai discloses a storage unit for storing a write position on the way of the rearrangement of data including additional added storage ("1025", Fig.1 and abstract of Arai). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bridge to include the claimed feature as taught by Arai.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,839 Page 6

Art Unit: 2161

The motivation of doing so would have been to increase the redundancy of the storages (col.2, lines 1-5, Arai).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Bridge/Arai combination discloses a database management system as set forth in claim 1, further comprising means, in response to a rebalance request of data to be rebalanced in a storage added according to said addition request, for adding data position information to data (abstract, col.5, line 63-col.6, line6 and col.8, lines 61-65, Arai).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. Muray et al. (US 6,330,653 B1) disclose manipulation of virtual and live computer storage device partitions.
 - 2. Wolff (US 6,067,545) discloses resource rebalancing in networked computer systems.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-4029. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,839 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh B Thai Examiner Art Unit 2161

March 2, 2005

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER